UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,407	06/09/2005	Kazuhiko Tsukanome	KYFQ-1 US	4104
24222 Vern Maine & A	7590 09/22/200 Associates	EXAMINER		
100 MAIN STR		PASCUA, JES F		
	P O BOX 3445 NASHUA, NH 03061-3445			PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@vernmaine.com dstengel@vernmaine.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/514,407	TSUKANOME ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jes F. Pascua	3782		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 At 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,13,14 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,13,14 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds a pplicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/10/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/514,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/10/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 13, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,524,460 to Twiehoff et al. and U.S. Patent No. 4,233,367 to Ticknor et al.

Twiehoff et al. discloses a multiple bag comprising a multiple film, wherein the multiple film is obtained by producing a tubular film by a blowing process forming the tubular film in a flat shape so as to form a two-ply film having an inner film and an outer film. See column 1, lines 61-68 and Fig. 7. The multiple film has end edges orthogonal to the tubular film and side edges orthogonal to the end edges. See Fig. 5. The

Art Unit: 3782

multiple film is bent in a tubular shape such that side edges of said multiple film are overlapped and connected at a back lining seal portion by longitudinal weld seams. See Figs. 5-7. The inner film of one of the side edges is connected to the outer film other side edge near to both of the side edges thereof at the back lining seal portion by longitudinal weld seams. See Fig. 7. Twiehoff et al. further discloses that the longitudinal weld seams may be passed though all four layers of the overlapping side edges. See column 3, lines 62-65. The multiple bag of Twiehoff et al. includes lateral seal portions welding a portion of the multiple film near both sides of end edges in which the inner film and the outer film are not connected. See Fig. 5.

However, Twiehoff et al. does not disclose the tubular film forming the multiple film being a tubular co-extrusion inflation film having a polyolefin layer on both sides of an un-oriented polyamide core. Ticknor et al. teaches that it is known in the art to form packaging from a tubular co-extrusion inflation film having a polyolefin layer on both sides of a nylon (i.e. polyamide) core. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the multiple bag of Twiehoff et al. using the tubular co-extrusion inflation film having a polyolefin layer on both sides of a nylon core of Ticknor et al., in order to provide a package with strength characteristics, oxygen impermeability and the ability to provide moisture protection and heat sealing with a lower temperature.

It is noted that absent any disclosure in Ticknor et al. of stretching or orienting the nylon core of the tubular co-extrusion inflation film, the nylon core of Ticknor et al. meets the recitation "un-oriented polyamide core".

Application/Control Number: 10/514,407 Page 4

Art Unit: 3782

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 4, 13, 14 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also **specifically point out the support for any amendments** made to the disclosure. See MPEP 714.02 and MPEP 2163.06. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

Application/Control Number: 10/514,407 Page 5

Art Unit: 3782

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782